

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/658,831	09/08/2003	Daniel Scheer Viapiana	14421.1US01	2857	
23552 7	7590 08/17/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			BASICHAS, ALFRED		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3749	-	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. ^ 1			
	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
		0/658,831	SCHEER VIAPIANA, DANIEL			
Office Action Sun	nmary	xaminer	Art Unit			
		Ifred Basichas	3749			
The MAILING DATE of th Period for Reply	is communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is le- If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) the of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply will be maximum statutory period will a period for reply will, by statute, cau three months after the mailing dat). In no event, however, may a reply be tin hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on <u>08 Sept</u>	<u>ember 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ac	tion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with	the practice under Ex p	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allo 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are re	is/are withdrawn wed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objection						
8) Claim(s) are subject		ection requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is object	ed to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on		ed or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request th		wing(s) be held in abeyance. See				
Replacement drawing sheet	(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exam	iner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	None of: he priority documents ha					
3. Copies of the certifi	ed copies of the priority	documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the	International Bureau (P	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed (Office action for a list of t	he certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachmont/s\						
Attachment(s) 1)	1	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi Information Disclosure Statement(s) (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/08/03. 	ng Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brazilian reference 8100906U, which shows all of the claimed limitations. The reference shows a barbecue unit including, among other things, a base 02, a cover 02 pivotally connected to the base, a motor for driving the spits (see at least abstract), and at least two rows of spits support on the base and cover when the cover is open (see at least fig. 3).
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Speakman (2,020,808), which shows all of the claimed limitations. Speakman shows a barbecue unit including, among other things, a base 2, a cover 1 pivotally connected to the base, and a motor 17 with gears 20,30 driving the spits 4,11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/658,831 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brazilian reference 8100906U, which shows substantially all of the claimed limitations. Brazilian reference 8100906U does not appear to show gears. Since there does not appear to be a ready translation and the language of the reference appears to be that of the applicant's residence, a translation would be helpful in expediting prosecution.

 Nevertheless, even if Brazilian reference 8100906U does not specifically recite gears, Official Notice is given that the use of gears with motors is old and well known in the art. Such an arrangement has the clear and obvious benefit of providing for efficient transfer of motion from the motor to the object to be driven. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate gears into the invention disclosed by Brazilian reference 8100906U, so as to provide for efficient transfer of motion from the motor to the object to be driven.

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 703 306

3476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular

business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308

0861.

August 12, 2004

703 306 3476